

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 27TH MARCH, 2007 / 6TH CHAITHRA, 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 502 of 2007(S)

(AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.18571/2004 Dated 24/06/2004)

.....

PETITIONER:

1. DEEPA K.K., AGED 25, D/O.LATE K.K.KUTTAN
(2ND RESPONDENT IN W.P(C)NO.18571 OF 2004)
RESIDING AT KALATHARAYIL VEEDU,
THALAYOLAPARAMBU P.O., KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.
2. DIVYA K.K., AGED 24, D/O.LATE K.K.KUTTAN
(2ND RESPONDENT IN W.P(C)NO.18571 OF 2004)
RESIDING AT KALATHARAYIL VEEDU,
THALAYOLAPARAMBU P.O., KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.V.KUMARAN

RESPONDENT:

SRI. K.R.RAMAKRISHNAN, PRESIDENT,
AMBALAVAYAL SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK,
AMBALAVAYAL P.O., WAYANAD DISTRICT,
(PETITIONER IN W.P(C)NO.18571 OF 2004)
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.N.SANTHOSH.
BY ADV. SRI.P.N.MOHANAN

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 27/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

Cont.Court (C) NO. 502 OF 2007- S

Dated this the 27th day of March, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners submit that the Government has not complied with Annexure-I judgment. Their contention is that although Ext.P7 has been disposed of as directed in Annexure-I judgment by Annexure-III order, that order has not yet been implemented and therefore, it amounts to violation of Annexure-I judgment. I cannot agree. In Annexure-I judgment, the only direction was to dispose of Ext.P7 which has been done by Annexure-III order. If anybody has any complaint regarding the implementation of the order, he should approach the appropriate authorities for implementation of the same. Therefore, without prejudice to such rights, the Contempt of Court Case is closed.

**S. SIRI JAGAN,
Judge**

Mbs/

DBA NO.

: -2- :

K.THANKAPPAN, J

Crl.Appeal NO. OF 2001

DBA NO.

:3:

J U D G M E N T

DATED:13-02-2007

DBA NO.

:-4:-